

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/894,251   | 06/27/2001  | Johan Ericsson       | 10010845-1          | 5180            |
| 7590 12/16/2004  |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
| AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.                                   |             |                      | LUU, MATTHEW        |                 |
| Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration |             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                 |
| P.O. Box 7599<br>Loveland, CO 80537-0599                     |             |                      | 2676                |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 09/894,251  | ERICSSON ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | LUU MATTHEW   | 2672   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply  | on appears on the cover sheet wit   | th the correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| • •  |   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become AB. | eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  (HS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | the amendment filed June 17. 2  | 2004   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for a  | <del>'-</del>   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice u   | nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.   | . 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applic  | cation.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-26</u> is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-14</u> is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction   | and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Ex  | aminer.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the   | correction is required if the drawing(  | s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t  | the Examiner. Note the attached   | Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo   | oreian priority under 35 U.S.C. &   | 119(a)-(d) or (f)  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   | and of o.c.o.   | 1.10(4) (4) 51 (1).  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docu   | iments have been received.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docu   |   | oplication No  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the   | e priority documents have been  | received in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International E   | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for  | a list of the certified copies not r  | received.  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview S  | ummary (PTO-413)   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/</li> </ul>   | _   | )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schaefer et al (4,675,147).

Regarding claim 1, Schaefer et al (hereinafter Schaefer) disclose (Figs. 1, 2, 5 and 6) a graphical indicator for adjusting a value of a parameter to a target value comprising:

target value indicia (Fig. 2, target 9 A) that represents a target value of the parameter; and

measured value indicia (actual value C) that represents a measured value of the parameter,

wherein a change in a measured value C of the parameter relative to the target value (A) is represented by a first corresponding amount of movement of the measured value indicia (C) relative to the target value indicia (A) when the measured value (C) is within a first span of parameter values (when the measured value C moves toward the upper limit B), and a second corresponding amount of movement of the measured value indicia (C) relative to the target indicia (A) when the measured value is within a second span of parameter values (when the measured value C moves toward the lower limit

Art Unit: 2672

26), the second corresponding amount of movement being different than the first corresponding amount of movement. See column 14, lines 3-18.

Regarding claim 2, it is inherent that, as shown in Fig. 2, the measured value (actual value C) can move closer to the target value (A) or below the target value (A), or closer to the lower limit value (26).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Regarding claim 3, Schaefer fails to teach the measurement span indicia includes a first measurement span indicia portion and a second measurement span indicia portion.

#### Claims 15-26 are allowed.

Regarding claim 15, Schaefer fails to teach "a non-liner measurement span indicia portion in which the amount of change in the measured value of the parameter is represented by different corresponding second amounts of movement of the measured value indicia in different portions of the non-linear measurement span indicia portion".

Regarding claim 22, Schaefer fails to teach "the sensitivity of the amount of movement of the measured value indicia relative to the target value indicia changes as a function of the proximity of the measure value of the parameter relative to the target value of the parameter".

Regarding claim 24, Schaefer fails to teach "said graphical indicator further includes a region of increased sensitivity in which a change in a measured value of said parameter relative to said target value is represented by a corresponding amount of movement of the measured value indicia relative to the target value indicia that is greater than a corresponding amount of movement of the measured value indicia relative to the target value indicia relative to the target value indicia when target value indicia is outside the region of increased sensitivity.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUU MATTHEW whose telephone number is (703) 305-4850. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RAZAVI MICHAEL can be reached on (703) 305-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,251

Art Unit: 2672

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Luu

MATTHEW LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER